O ORIGINAL

HOWARD T. CHANG, AAL, ALC
HOWARD T. CHANG #1695-0
Suite 475 Pauahi Tower
1003 Bishop Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Telephone No. (808) 533-2877
E-Mail:
howardchang.crimtaxatty
@hawaiiantel.net

Attorney for Defendant ANDY S.S. YIP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SEP 2 KOTA US

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

			TRIAL DATE: 08/15/07
			JUDGE: DAVID A. EZRA
			TIME:
		)	DATE:
Defendants.		)	
		)	
BRENDA M.O. CHUNG	(02)	)	COUNSEL
ANDY S.S. YIP,	(01)	)	EXHIBITS 1-3; DECLARATION OF
		)	MEMORANDUM OF LAW;
VS.		)	VERDICT AS TO COUNT 1;
		)	JUDGMENT NOTWITHSTANDING
Plaintiff,		)	NOTICE OF MOTION; MOTION FOR
		)	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		)	CR. NO. CR02-00225 (1) DAE

# NOTICE OF MOTION

TO:

LESLIE E. OSBORNE, ESQ. Asst. U.S. Attorney 6-100 PJKK Federal Building 300 Ala Moana Boulevard Honolulu, Hawaii 96850

Attorney for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

HOWARD K.K. LUKE, ESQ. 841 Bishop Street #2022 Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Attorney for Defendant BRENDA M.O. CHUNG

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) )	CR. NO. CR02-00225 (1) DAE
Plaintiff,	) )	MOTION FOR JUDGMENT NOTWITHSTANDING VERDICT AS TO
vs.	)	COUNT 1
ANDY S.S. YIP, (01)	)	
BRENDA M.O. CHUNG (02)	)	
Defendants.	) }	
	<del></del> ′	

# MOTION FOR JUDGMENT NOTWITHSTANDING VERDICT AS TO COUNT 1

Defendant Andy S.S. Yip, by and through his undersigned attorney, hereby moves this Honorable Court for an order setting aside the jury's verdict of guilty as to Count 1 of the Second Superseding Indictment, i.e. conspiracy to defraud the Internal Revenue Service, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 371.

The reason for granting this Motion is that Co-Defendant Brenda Chung, who was the only other person than Defendant Yip charged in Count 1, was acquitted by the jury after the completion of a joint trial involving Ms. Chung and Mr. Yip. Under the Rule of Consistency embodied by Hartzel v. United States, 322 U.S. 680,682n.3 (1944) and Lubin v. v. United States, 313 F.2d 419,423 (9th Cir. 1963) and their progeny, the dismissal of Count 1 against the sole remaining co-conspirator Andy Yip in this case is required. This Motion is brought pursuant to Rules

29(c) and 47 of the <u>Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure</u> and is based upon the two inconsistent verdicts issued in this case and the attached Memorandum of Law.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawaii, <u>September 21, 2007</u>.

HOWARD T. CHANG

Attorney for Defendant

ANDY S.S. YIP